## SMITHSONIAN MISCELLANEOUS COLLECTIONS VOLUME 95, NUMBER 3

## LIQUID-PROPELLANT ROCKET DEVELOPMENT

(WITH 11 PLATES)

BY
ROBERT H. GODDARD
Clark University



(PUBLICATION 3381)

CITY OF WASHINGTON
PUBLISHED BY THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION
MARCH 16, 1936



### SMITHSONIAN MISCELLANEOUS COLLECTIONS

VOLUME 95. NUMBER 3

# LIQUID-PROPELLANT ROCKET DEVELOPMENT

(WITH 11 PLATES)

BY
ROBERT H. GODDARD
Clark University



(Publication 3381)

CITY OF WASHINGTON
PUBLISHED BY THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION
MARCH 16, 1936

The Lord Gaftimore (Press BALTIMORE, MD., U. S. A.

#### LIQUID-PROPELLANT ROCKET DEVELOPMENT

## By ROBERT H. GODDARD Clark University

(WITH II PLATES)

The following is a report made by the writer to the Daniel and Florence Guggenheim Foundation concerning the rocket development carried out under his direction in Roswell, N. Mex., from July 1930 to July 1932, and from September 1934 to September 1935, supported by this Foundation.

This report is a presentation of the general plan of attack on the problem of developing a sounding rocket, and of the results obtained. Further details will be set forth in a later paper, after the main objects of the research have been attained.

#### INTRODUCTION

In a previous paper ' the author developed a theory of rocket performance and made calculations regarding the heights that might reasonably be expected for a rocket having a high velocity of the ejected gases and a mass at all times small in proportion to the weight of propellant material. It was shown that these conditions would be satisfied by having a tapered nozzle through which the gaseous products of combustion were discharged,' by feeding successive portions of propellant material into the rocket combustion chambers,' and further by employing a series of rockets, of decreasing size, each fired when the rocket immediately below was empty of fuel.' Experimental results with powder rockets were also presented in this paper.

Since the above was published, work has been carried on for the purpose of making practical a plan of rocket propulsion set forth in 1914 which may be called the liquid-propellant type of rocket. In this rocket, a liquid fuel and a combustion-supporting liquid are fed under pressure into a combustion chamber provided with a conical nozzle through which the products of combustion are discharged.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Smithsonian Misc. Coll., vol. 71, no. 2, 1919.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> U. S. Patent, Rocket Apparatus, No. 1,102,653, July 7, 1914.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> U. S. Patent, Rocket Apparatus, No. 1,103,503, July 14, 1914.

2

The advantages of the liquid-propellant rocket are that the propellant materials possess several times the energy of powders, per unit mass, and that moderate pressures may be employed, thus avoiding the weight of the strong combustion chambers that would be necessary if propulsion took place by successive explosions.

Experiments with liquid oxygen and various liquid hydrocarbons, including gasoline and liquid propane, as well as ether, were made during the writer's spare time from 1920 to 1922, under a grant by Clark University. Although oxygen and hydrogen, as earlier suggested, possess the greatest heat energy per unit mass, it seems likely that liquid oxygen and liquid methane would afford the greatest heat value of the combinations which could be used without considerable difficulty. The most practical combination, however, appears to be liquid oxygen and gasoline.

In these experiments it was shown that a rocket chamber and nozzle, since termed a "rocket motor," could use liquid oxygen together with a liquid fuel, and could exert a lifting force without danger of explosion and without damage to the chamber and nozzle. These rockets were held by springs in a testing frame, and the liquids were forced into the chamber by the pressure of a noninflammable gas.

The experiments were continued from 1922 to 1930, chiefly under grants from the Smithsonian Institution. Although this work will be made the subject of a later report, it is desirable in the present paper to call attention to some of the results obtained. On November 1, 1923, a rocket motor operated in the testing frame, using liquid oxygen and gasoline, both supplied by pumps on the rocket.

In December 1925 the simpler plan previously employed of having the liquids fed to the chamber under the pressure of an inert gas in a tank on the rocket was again employed, and the rocket developed by means of these tests was constructed so that it could be operated independently of the testing frame.

The first flight of a liquid oxygen-gasoline rocket was obtained on March 16, 1926, in Auburn, Mass., and was reported to the Smithsonian Institution May 5, 1926. This rocket is shown in the frame from which it was fired, in plate 1, figure 1. Pressure was produced initially by an outside pressure tank, and after launching by an alcohol heater on the rocket.

It will be seen from the photograph that the combustion chamber and nozzle were located forward of the remainder of the rocket, to which connection was made by two pipes. This plan was of advantage

<sup>\*</sup>Smithsonian Misc. Coll., vol. 71, no. 2, 1919.

in keeping the flame away from the tanks, but was of no value in producing stabilization. This is evident from the fact that the direction of the propelling force lay along the axis of the rocket, and not in the direction in which it was intended the rocket should travel, the condition therefore being the same as that in which the chamber is at the rear of the rocket. The case is altogether different from pulling an object upward by a force which is constantly vertical, when stability depends merely on having the force applied above the center of gravity.

Plate 1, figure 2 shows an assistant igniting the rocket, and plate 2, figure 1 shows the group that witnessed the flight, except for the camera operator. The rocket traveled a distance of 184 feet in 2.5 seconds, as timed by a stop watch, making the speed along the trajectory about 60 miles per hour.

Other short flights of liquid oxygen-gasoline rockets were made in Auburn, that of July 17, 1929, happening to attract public attention owing to a report from someone who witnessed the flight from a distance and mistook the rocket for a flaming airplane. In this flight the rocket carried a small barometer and a camera, both of which were retrieved intact after the flight (pl. 2, fig. 2). The combustion chamber was located at the rear of the rocket, which is, incidentally, the best location, inasmuch as no part of the rocket is in the high velocity stream of ejected gases, and none of the gases are directed at an angle with the rocket axis.

During the college year 1929-30 tests were carried on at Fort Devens, Mass., on a location which was kindly placed at the disposal of the writer by the War Department. Progress was made, however, with difficulty, chiefly owing to transportation conditions in the winter.

At about this time Col. Charles A. Lindbergh became interested in the work and brought the matter to the attention of the late Daniel Guggenheim. The latter made a grant which permitted the research to be continued under ideal conditions, namely, in eastern New Mexico; and Clark University at the same time granted the writer leave of absence. An additional grant was made by the Carnegie Institution of Washington to help in getting established.

It was decided that the development should be carried on for 2 years, at the end of which time a grant making possible 2 further years' work would be made if an advisory committee, formed at the time the grant was made, should decide that this was justified by the results obtained during the first 2 years. This advisory committee

was as follows: Dr. John C. Merriam, chairman; Dr. C. G. Abbot; Dr. Walter S. Adams; Dr. Wallace W. Atwood; Col. Henry Breckinridge; Dr. John A. Fleming; Col. Charles A. Lindbergh; Dr. C. F. Marvin; and Dr. Robert A. Millikan.

#### THE ESTABLISHMENT IN NEW MEXICO

Although much of the eastern part of New Mexico appeared to be suitable country for flights because of clear air, few storms, moderate winds, and level terrain, it was decided to locate in Roswell, where power and transportation facilities were available.

A shop 30 by 55 feet was erected in September 1930 (pl. 3, figs. 1, 2), and the 60-foot tower previously used in Auburn and Fort Devens was erected about 15 miles away (pl. 4, fig. 1). A second tower, 20 feet high (pl. 4, fig. 2), was built near the shop for static tests, that is, those in which the rocket was prevented from rising by heavy weights, so that the lift and general performance could be studied. These static tests may be thought of as "idling" the rocket motor. A cement gas deflector was constructed under each tower, as may be seen in plate 4, figures 1, 2, whereby the gases from the rocket were directed toward the rear, thus avoiding a cloud of dust which might otherwise hide the rocket during a test.

#### STATIC TESTS OF 1930-32

Although, as has been stated, combustion chambers had been constructed at Clark University which operated satisfactorily, it appeared desirable to conduct a series of thorough tests in which the operating conditions were varied, the lift being recorded as a function of the time. Various modifications in the manner of feeding the liquids under pressure to the combustion chamber were tested, as well as variations in the proportions of the liquids, and in the size and shape of the chambers. The chief conclusions reached were that satisfactory operation of the combustion chambers could be obtained with considerable variation of conditions, and that larger chambers afforded better operation than those of smaller size.

As will be seen from plate 4, figure 2, the supporting frame for the rocket was held down by four steel barrels containing water. Either two or four barrels could be filled, and in the latter case the total weight was about 2,000 lbs. This weight was supported by a strong compression spring, which made possible the recording of the lift on a revolving drum (pl. 5, fig. 1) driven by clockwork.

The combustion chamber finally decided upon for use in flights was  $5\frac{3}{4}$  inches in diameter and weighed 5 pounds. The maximum lift obtained was 289 pounds, and the period of combustion usually exceeded 20 seconds. The lifting force was found to be very steady, the variation of lift being within 5 percent.

The masses of liquids used during the lifting period were the quantities most difficult to determine. Using the largest likely value of the total mass of liquids ejected and the integral of the lift-time curve obtained mechanically, the velocity of the ejected gases was estimated to be over 5,000 feet per second. This gave for the mechanical horsepower of the jet 1,030 hp., and the horsepower per pound of the combustion chamber, considered as a rocket motor, 206 hp. It was found possible to use the chambers repeatedly.

The results of this part of the development were very important, for a rocket to reach great heights can obviously not be made unless a combustion chamber, or rocket motor, can be constructed that is both extremely light and can be used without danger of burning through or exploding.

#### FLIGHTS DURING THE PERIOD 1930-32

The first flight obtained during this period was on December 30, 1930, with a rocket 11 feet long, weighing 33.5 pounds. The height obtained was 2,000 feet, and the maximum speed was about 500 miles per hour. A gas pressure tank was used on the rocket to force the liquid oxygen and the gasoline into the combustion chamber.

In further flights pressure was obtained by gas pressure on the rocket, and also by pumping liquid nitrogen through a vaporizer, the latter means first being employed in a flight on April 19, 1932.

In order to avoid accident, a remote control system was constructed in September 1931, whereby the operator and observers could be stationed 1,000 feet from the tower, and the rocket fired and released at will from this point. This arrangement has proved very satisfactory. Plate 5, figure 2 shows the cable being unwound between the tower and the 1,000-foot shelter, the latter being seen in the distance, and plate 6, figure 1 shows the control keys being operated at the shelter, which is provided with sand bags on the roof as protection against possible accident. Plate 5, figure 2 shows also the level and open nature of the country.

One observer was stationed 3,000 feet from the tower, in the rear of the 1,000-foot shelter, with a recording telescope (pl. 6, fig. 2). Two pencils attached to this telescope gave a record of the altitude and azimuth, respectively, of the rocket, the records being made on a paper

strip, moved at a constant speed by clockwork. The sights at the front and rear of the telescope, similar to those on a rifle, were used in following the rocket when the speed was high. In plate 7, figure 1, which shows the clock mechanism in detail, the observer is indicating the altitude trace. This device proved satisfactory except when the trajectory of the rocket was in the plane of the tower and the telescope. For great heights, short-wave radio direction finders, for following the rocket during the descent, will be preferable to telescopes.

During this period a number of flights were made for the purpose of testing the regulation of the nitrogen gas pressure. A beginning on the problem of automatically stabilized vertical flight was also made, and the first flight with gyroscopically controlled vanes was obtained on April 19, 1932, with the same model that employed the first liquid nitrogen tank. The method of stabilization consisted in forcing vanes into the blast of the rocket 'by means of gas pressure, this pressure being controlled by a small gyroscope.

As has been found by later tests, the vanes used in the flight of April 19, 1932, were too small to produce sufficiently rapid correction. Nevertheless, the two vanes which, by entering the rocket blast, should have moved the rocket back to the vertical position were found to be warmer than the others after the rocket landed.

This part of the development work, being for the purpose of obtaining satisfactory and reproducible performance of the rocket in the air, was conducted without any special attempt to secure great lightness, and therefore great altitudes.

In May 1932 the results that had been obtained were placed before the advisory committee, which voted to recommend the 2 additional years of the development. Owing to the economic conditions then existing, however, it was found impossible to continue the flights in New Mexico.

A grant from the Smithsonian Institution enabled the writer, who resumed full-time teaching in Clark University in the fall of 1932, to carry out tests that did not require flights, in the physics laboratories of the University during 1932-33, and a grant was received from the Daniel and Florence Guggenheim Foundation which made possible a more extended program of the same nature in 1933-34.

#### RESUMPTION OF FLIGHTS IN NEW MEXICO

A grant made by the Daniel and Florence Guggenheim Foundation in August 1934, together with leave of absence for the writer granted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>U. S. Patent, Mechanism for Directing Flight, No. 1,879,187, September 27, 1932.

by the Trustees of Clark University, made it possible to continue the development on a scale permitting actual flights to be made. This was very desirable, as further laboratory work could not be carried out effectively without flights in which to test performance under practical conditions.

Work was begun in September 1934, the shop being put in running order and the equipment at the tower for the flights being re-




Fig. 1.—Relative positions of launching tower, dugout, shelter, and telescope.

placed. The system of remote control previously used was further improved and simplified, and a concrete dugout (pl. 7, fig. 2) was constructed 50 feet from the launching tower in order to make it possible for an observer to watch the launching of the rocket at close range. The relative positions of launching tower, dugout, shelter, and telescope are shown in figure 1.

#### DEVELOPMENT OF STABILIZED FLIGHT

It was of the first importance to perfect the means of keeping the rockets in a vertical course automatically, work on which was begun in the preceding series of flights, since a rocket cannot rise vertically to a very great height without a correction being made when it deviates from the vertical course. Such correction is especially important at the time the rocket starts to rise, for a rocket of very great range

must be loaded with a maximum amount of propellant and consequently must start with as small an acceleration as possible. At these small initial velocities fixed air vanes, especially those of large size, are worse than useless, as they increase the deviations due to the wind. It should be remarked that fixed air vanes should preferably be small, or dispensed with entirely, if automatic stabilization is employed, to minimize air resistance.

In order to make the construction of the rockets as rapid as possible, combustion chambers were used of the same size as those in the work of 1930-32, together with the simplest means of supplying pressure, namely, the use of a tank of compressed nitrogen gas on the rocket. The rockets were, at the same time, made as nearly streamline as possible without resorting to special means for forming the jacket or casing.

#### PENDULUM STABILIZER

A pendulum stabilizer was used in the first of the new series of flights to test the directing vanes, for the reason that such a stabilizer could be more easily constructed and repaired than a gyroscope stabilizer, and would require very little adjustment. A pendulum stabilizer could correct the flight for the first few hundred feet, where the acceleration is small, but it would not be satisfactory where the acceleration is large, since the axis of the pendulum extends in a direction which is the resultant of the acceleration of the rocket and the acceleration of gravity, and is therefore inclined from the vertical as soon as the rocket ceases to move in a vertical direction. The pendulum stabilizer, as was expected, gave an indication of operating the vanes for the first few hundred feet, but not thereafter. The rocket rose about 1,000 feet, continued in a horizontal direction for a time, and finally landed 11,000 feet from the tower, traveling at a velocity of over 700 miles per hour near the end of the period of propulsion, as observed with the recording telescope.

#### GYROSCOPE STABILIZER

Inasmuch as control by a small gyroscope is the best as well as the lightest means of operating the directing vanes, the action of the gyroscope being independent of the direction and acceleration of the rocket, a gyroscope having the necessary characteristics was developed, after numerous tests.

The gyroscope, shown in plate 8, figure 1, was set to apply controlling force when the axis of the rocket deviated 10° or more from the vertical. In the first flight of the present series of tests with gyroscopic control, on March 28, 1935, the rocket as viewed from the 1,000-foot shelter traveled first to the left and then to the right, thereafter describing a smooth and rather flat trajectory. This result was encouraging, as it indicated the presence of an actual stabilizing force of sufficient magnitude to turn the rocket back to a vertical course. The greatest height in this flight was 4,800 feet, the horizontal distance 13,000 feet, and the maximum speed 550 miles per hour.

In subsequent flights, with adjustments and improvements in the stabilizing arrangements, the rockets have been stabilized up to the time propulsion ceased, the trajectory being a smooth curve beyond this point. In the rockets so far used, the vanes have moved only during the period of propulsion, but with a continuation of the supply of compressed gas the vanes could evidently act against the slip stream of air as long as the rocket was in motion in air of appreciable density. The oscillations each side of the vertical varied from 10° to 30° and occupied from 1 to 2 seconds. Inasmuch as the rockets started slowly, the first few hundred feet of the flight reminded one of a fish swimming in a vertical direction. The gyroscope and directing vanes were tested carefully before each flight, by inclining and rotating the rocket while it was suspended from the 20-foot tower (pl. 8, fig. 2). The rocket is shown in the launching tower, ready for a flight, in the close-up (pl. 9, fig. 1), and also in plate 9, figure 2, which shows the entire tower.

The behavior of the rocket in stabilized flight is shown in plates 10 and 11, which are enlarged from 16-mm motion picture films of the flights. The time intervals are 1.0 second for the first 5 seconds, and 0.5 second thereafter. The 60-foot tower from which the rockets rise (pl. 9, fig. 2) appears small in the first few of each set of the motion pictures, since the camera was 1,000 feet away, at the shelter shown in plate 6, figure 1. The continually increasing speed of the rockets, with the accompanying steady roar, make the flights very impressive. In the two flights for which the moving pictures are shown, the rocket left a smoke trail and had a small, intensely white flame issuing from the nozzle, which at times nearly disappeared with no decrease in roar or propelling force. This smoke may be avoided by varying the proportion of the fluids used in the rocket. but is of advantage in following the path of the rocket. The occasional white flashes below the rocket, seen in the photographs, are explosions of gasoline vapor in the air.

Plate 10 shows the flight of October 14, 1935, in which the rocket rose 4,000 feet, and plate 11 shows the flight of May 31, 1935, in which the rocket rose 7,500 feet. The oscillations from side to side.

above mentioned, are evident in the two sets of photographs. These photographs also show the slow rise of the rocket from the launching tower, but do not show the very great increase in speed that takes place a few seconds after leaving the tower, for the reason that the motion picture camera followed the rockets in flight.

A lengthwise quadrant of the rocket casing was painted red in order to show to what extent rotation about the long axis occurred in flight. Such rotation as was observed was always slow, being at the rate of 20 to 60 seconds for one rotation.

As in the flights of 1930-32 to study rocket performance in the air, no attempt was made in the flights of 1934-35 to reduce the weight of the rockets, which varied from 58 to 85 pounds. A reduction of weight would be useless before a vertical course of the rocket could be maintained automatically. The speed of 700 miles per hour, although high, was not as much as could be obtained by a light rocket, and the heights, also, were much less than could be obtained by a light rocket of the same power.

It is worth mentioning that inasmuch as the delicate directional apparatus functioned while the rockets were in flight, it should be possible to carry recording instruments on the rocket without damage or changes in adjustment.


#### FURTHER DEVELOPMENT

The next step in the development of the liquid-propellant rocket is the reduction of weight to a minimum. Some progress along this line has already been made. This work, when completed, will be made the subject of a later report.


#### CONCLUSION

The chief accomplishments to date are the development of a combustion chamber, or rocket motor, that is extremely light and powerful and can be used repeatedly, and of a means of stabilization that operates automatically while the rocket is in flight.

I wish to express my deep appreciation for the grants from Daniel Guggenheim, the Daniel and Florence Guggenheim Foundation, and the Carnegie Institution of Washington, which have made this work possible, and to President Atwood and the Trustees of Clark University for leave of absence. I wish also to express my indebtedness to Dr. John C. Merriam and the members of the advisory committee, especially to Col. Charles A. Lindbergh for his active interest in the work and to Dr. Charles G. Abbot, Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution, for his help in the early stages of the development and his continued interest.




1. Liquid oxygen-gasoline rocket in the frame from which it was fired on March 16, 1926, in Auburn, Mass.



2. Assistant igniting the rocket shown in figure 1.




1. Group that witnessed the flight of the rocket shown in plate 1.




2. Barometer and camera retrieved intact after the flight of July 17, 1929.




1. Shop erected at Roswell, N. Mex., in September 1930.




2. Interior of shop.



1. 60-foot tower, previously used in Auburn and Fort Devens, as erected at Roswell, N. Mex.



2. 20-foot tower for static tests at Roswell, N. Mex.



I. Revolving drum to record the lift developed in static tests in the 20-foot tower.




2. Cable being unwound between the tower and the 1,000-foot shelter.




1. Control keys being operated at the shelter.



2. Observer stationed 3,000 feet from the tower with a recording telescope.



1. Clock mechanism on the recording telescope; the observer is indicating the altitude trace.





2. Concrete dugout constructed 50 feet from the launching tower so that an observer can watch the launching of the rocket at close range.




1. The gyroscope stabilizer.

2. Testing the gyroscope and directing vanes before a flight by inclining and rotating the rocket while it was suspended from the 20-foot tower.







1. Rocket in the launching tower, ready for a flight.



2. Same as figure 1, except that the entire tower is shown.



The flight of October 14, 1935, in which the rocket rose 4,000 feet.



The flight of May 31, 1935, in which the rocket rose 7,500 feet.

